

MINUTES OF MEETING
VILLAGES OF BLOOMINGDALE
DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Villages of Bloomingdale Community Development District was held on Tuesday, October 26, 2021 at 9:00 a.m. at the Villages of Bloomingdale Clubhouse, 6301 Valleydale Drive, Riverview, Florida, 33578.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Jackie Darden	Chairperson
Curtis Brown	Vice Chairman
Dave Moore	Assistant Secretary
Derryll Fox	Assistant Secretary
Mulumbet (Fifi) Hussein	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Tricia Adams	District Manager
Wes Haber (<i>via phone</i>)	District Counsel
Clayton Smith	Field Manager
Jason Greenwood	GMS
Sara Bachelder	GMS
Mick Sheppard	GMS
Brian Young	GMS
Resident	

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Ms. Adams called the meeting to order and called the roll. All Supervisors were present.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Comment Period

Ms. Adams stated this is an opportunity to make any remarks to the Board. I don't see any members of the public interested in speaking at this time.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of Minutes of September 28, 2021 Meeting

Ms. Adams stated a draft of the meeting minutes was included in the agenda packet that was sent electronically about a week ago. These were summary minutes. We are ready for any Board Member comments or input. Otherwise, we would be seeking a motion to approve them.

Mr. Brown MOVED to approve the Minutes of the September 28, 2021 Meeting as presented and Mr. Fox seconded the motion.

Ms. Adams asked is there any further discussion? Hearing none,

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor the Minutes of the September 28, 2021 Meeting were approved as presented.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Business Items

A. Rule Hearing

Ms. Adams stated we have a rule hearing scheduled. Do we have a motion to open the rule hearing?

On MOTION by Mr. Brown seconded by Mr. Fox with all in favor the public hearing to adopt Amenity Facility Policies and Rates was opened.

i. Consideration of Resolution 2022-01 Adopting Amenity Facility Policies and Rates

Ms. Adams stated this resolution will adopt your updated Amenity Facility Policies as well as revised rates. A draft of the Amenity Policy is included in the agenda packet. The Amenity Policies were reviewed by the Board Members for several months and have been refined along the way. The most recent revision was to strengthen the language regarding amenity staff having the ability to close the pool in the event there was inclement weather or maintenance. We also added language regarding the cost for a non-resident user to complete the application and become a non-resident user for a 12-month period. I'm happy to walk the Board through those changes, if you would like more detail on those. Alternatively, if I can draw your attention to Page 6, included in your agenda packet is information regarding the fees charged to

rent the club room and patio for a four-hour period. The current policies that you previously adopted, has a rental fee of \$120. There is also a \$55 fee imposed per hour if the event extends past 9:00 p.m. or if it is for more than four hours. There is also a \$100 damage deposit that is refundable. We advertised today's hearing as well as the fees. The advertised rates were set high in order to allow the Board flexibility to set the rates at what you think is appropriate. We advertised a \$500 rental fee, \$100 per hour and \$100 plus damages. Based on amenity staff surveying nearby facilities, we are proposing that the Board reconsider a \$200 rental fee to rent the Clubhouse for a four-hour period and then \$75 per hour for events that last past 9:00 p.m. or for more than four hours. There would be a deposit for the facility rental of \$100 plus damages. Now, the Board has the ability to set any rate that you choose up to \$500 for your rental fees, but we just need consensus regarding the fee that should be imposed. The only other fee that was noted was the access card. It is \$10 to replace an access card. That covers the expense of the card and staff efforts.

Mr. Fox stated I see where it talks about the non-resident fees.

Ms. Adams stated yes, sir.

Mr. Fox stated but I don't see where it shows what the non-resident fee is.

Ms. Adams stated the reason that there is not an exact amount, is that it is actually subject to change each year based on the operations and maintenance (O&M) fee that the Board sets as part of your budget adoption process. What I did was I pulled out the information from when it had been adopted on March 27, 2007. Board Members can find that information in the middle of Page 7. The fees are a combination of the annual debt service fee and the O&M fee. So, those two amounts combined would be what is assessed, if someone wants to be a non-resident user. So, we would just simply look at your budget, see what your debt service fee is per resident, see what your O&M fee is and add those. It would change each year based on what the Board sets as your O&M fee.

Mr. Fox stated I am okay with the proposed fee.

Mr. Moore stated so am I.

Ms. Darden stated me too.

Mr. Brown stated it's fine for me.

Ms. Adams asked is there any further discussion or any remarks that the Board Members would like to make? I believe that we have Mr. Wes Haber on the line. Wes?

Mr. Haber responded yes. Good morning.

Ms. Adams stated good morning, Wes. I just wanted to defer to you to see if you had any comments or remarks regarding Resolution 2022-01.

Mr. Haber stated I don't. I think you covered everything. Because you are changing the rates, you are required to advertise a public hearing. You have correctly done that. As long as there are no comments from members of the public and everyone is okay with the proposed rates, the Board could adopt the resolution. I'm happy to answer any questions if there are any.

Ms. Adams stated sounds good. Are there any comments from any members of the public regarding Resolution 2022-01? Hearing none, this is ready for Board action.

Mr. Brown MOVED to adopt Resolution 2022-01 Adopting the Amenity Facility Policies and Rates and Ms. Darden seconded the motion.

Ms. Adams asked is there any further discussion? Hearing none,

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor Resolution 2022-01 Adopting the Amenity Facility Policies and Rates was adopted.

Ms. Adams stated we just need a motion to close your rule hearing.

On MOTION by Mr. Brown seconded by Mr. Fox with all in favor the public hearing to adopt Amenity Facility Policies and Rates was closed.

B. Consideration of Proposal from Complete IT

Ms. Adams stated I believe that this proposal is to replace the computer that amenity staff uses for various tasks associated with the operation of the amenity facilities.

Mr. Young stated I got two more proposals from HP. The only thing is that it will not include is installation or the monthly tune-ups, but they are cheaper. I think Complete ITs proposal is fair.

Ms. Adams stated Board Members, included in your agenda packet is a proposal from Complete IT, Estimate #2780 for a Dell computer and monitor. The technical specifications for

the computer and monitor were provided. This also includes the labor to install the system and ensure it is compatible with the printer. It does include Microsoft Office Suite for business and antivirus service and tune-ups, which is a monthly fee of \$5. The total amount is \$1,169.90. As Brian indicated, he also solicited proposals directly from the manufacturer and it sounds like there may be that option as well.

Mr. Young stated their major concern was that with COVID, it is very hard to get computers. So, we might order from HP and we won't get it for six months.

Ms. Adams asked did Complete IT give you an estimate if the Board decides to approve this proposal, how long it would take before they can install the equipment?

Mr. Young responded he did not.

Mr. Greenwood stated let me add to that. We see the current system that this Amenity Center is running off of. I don't think that it has ever been updated since we have been here.

Mr. Fox stated no it hasn't.

Mr. Greenwood stated if you keep up with the times, it is a capital expense that you need for this Amenity Center. We have new access cards coming in and out. I just think for staff and efficiency, this is something that you need.

Mr. Brown asked is there an advantage to having the tower?

Mr. Greenwood responded I think it's more of a robust system rather than a laptop. This is going to be an amenity asset. We don't need it. It doesn't need to go with us.

Ms. Darden MOVED to approve the proposal from Complete IT to replace the computer in the Amenity Center in the amount of \$1,169.90 and Mr. Fox seconded the motion.

Ms. Adams asked is there any further discussion regarding the proposal from Complete IT? Hearing none,

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor the proposal from Complete IT to replace the computer in the Amenity Center in the amount of \$1,169.90 was approved.

- **Consideration of Joint Letter from Hopping Green & Sams, P.A. and Kutak Rock LLP - ADDED**

Ms. Adams stated this item was added to the agenda. Correspondence from Hopping Green & Sams dated October 15, 2021 was included in your agenda package. Mr. Wes Haber is on the line. Would you like to present this item?

Mr. Haber responded yes. Thank you. I am filling in for Mr. Mike Eckert today. Our office has a Practice Group that handles nothing but Special Districts and this particular CDD. I don't know if each of you had an opportunity to review the letter, but our Practice Group is moving from Hopping Green & Sams to a law firm named Kutak Rock, LLP. They are a large firm, although they do not have any Florida offices presently. Our group will be their first Florida office. You may not have heard of the firm, but they handle Special Districts, do public financing, real estate and governmental work. The only change that you will experience is the fact that Mike will be working at Kutak Rock, LLP. instead of Hopping Green & Sams. Mr. Eckert will still be the lawyer assigned to your District and rates will remain the same. So, instead of Mike introducing himself as Mr. Mike Eckert of Hopping Green & Sams, he will be Mr. Mike Eckert of Kutak Rock, LLP. There are only two things that we would be looking for. I'm not sure if the letter you have has been executed yet. If not, we would be looking for a motion to approve the execution of that letter. Ultimately, we will need a new agreement with the District. There could be one motion authorizing the execution of the transition letter as well as the execution of the new agreement with the new law firm. I'm happy to answer any questions regarding the letter, summary or the transition itself.

Ms. Adams asked are there any questions for Wes? Hearing none, we need a motion to approve the transition letter and new agreement.

Ms. Darden MOVED to authorize the execution of the transition letter to switch legal services from Hopping Green & Sams to Kutak Rock, LLP. and the execution of the agreement with Kutak Rock, LLP. and Mr. Brown seconded the motion.

Ms. Adams asked is there any further discussion? Hearing none,

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor authorizing the execution of the transition letter to switch legal services from Hopping Green & Sams to Kutak Rock, LLP. and the execution of the agreement with Kutak Rock, LLP. was approved.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. Attorney

Ms. Adams stated we have a memo from Hopping Green & Sams regarding a new requirement for Special Districts to prepare a Stormwater Needs Analysis. Wes, would you like to present this memorandum?

Mr. Haber responded sure. I'll be brief because the memo has a fair amount of detail. This is going to apply to all CDDs that have an Environmental Resource Permit for their stormwater management system. There is a requirement that they have a study conducted and submitted to the local government, who then takes all of data that they receive and submits to the State. It is a new law. The deadline to comply isn't until 2022, I believe.

Ms. Adams stated yes. We have until June 30, 2022.

Mr. Haber stated the District has the ability to either have the existing District Engineer provide the analysis or look for other companies that are able to provide those services. There is no requirement that we ultimately procure the services because of the nature of the services. I believe that is detailed in the memo as well. So, there is a change in the law that requires all CDDs that are permitted under the Environmental Resource Permit. I'm happy to answer any questions. I don't know that we are looking for any action at this meeting.

Ms. Adams stated I don't think any action is required. I will just let Board Members know that I plan to reach out to Stantec Consulting Services, the engineering firm that the Board engaged about a year ago to provide services for the District as an as needed basis. We would provide them with the memorandum along with some other documents that we have related to this new regulatory requirement and ask them to provide a proposal for the preparation of the report. Then the proposal would come back to the Board for consideration at a future meeting. Our goal with all of the CDDs in the Central Florida region, is to get those proposals considered by the January meeting. So, within the next few months, you will see that. Are there any questions on the Stormwater Needs Analysis requirement?

Mr. Brown responded in our case, I think a lot of this is under the HOA. Was there a similar requirement for the HOA?

Ms. Adams responded this is just applicable to governments and Special Districts. I can't speak on behalf of regulatory requirements for HOAs. Wes might know more about that.

Mr. Brown stated it just seems obvious, talking about that the county has to accumulate it all and in our case, a lot of it is with the HOA. You would only be looking at half of the picture.

Mr. Haber asked is the question whether the HOA has a similar obligation?

Mr. Brown responded yes.

Mr. Haber stated I know that it applies to units of government and the HOA is a private entity, but I think it will largely depend on whether they are the permittee on the permit for the stormwater system. Is there one on which the CDD is a permittee and another one where the HOA is the permittee?

Ms. Adams responded for the Villages of Bloomingdale CDD, the stormwater system is permitted with the Water Management District and the CDD is the permit holder.

Mr. Haber stated you can have the HOA check with its own counsel, but if there is only one permit with the Water Management District and the CDD is the permittee on that permit, then there is likely no obligation on the part of the HOA. I am not counsel for the HOA, but I don't think that there will be any obligation on the HOA's part since there is no way of permitting on any aspect of the permit for your stormwater system.

Mr. Fox stated I'm not aware of any at this time, but I'll check with counsel on that.

Ms. Adams stated sounds good. Is there any other discussion regarding the Stormwater Needs Analysis requirement? Hearing none,

B. Amenity Service Manager

1. Presentation of Amenity Manager's Report

Ms. Adams stated the Amenity Service Management Report was included in the agenda packet. The report looks great.

Mr. Young stated we got it to you a little late, but I will be implementing a lot more pictures. I got this started after a lot of these projects were already completed, but I look forward to having a lot more pictures going forward just to give you guys an idea. To start off, we have been working with Shawn on the stains on the bottom of the pool, right by the filters. He is scrubbing them, but it seems like they keep coming back. I'm still working with him on a more permanent solution, so I will keep you updated on that. Pest control treatment was administered on October 13, 2021. We always stock our janitorial supplies to keep it replenished. The new

treadmill was ordered and should be here within a week. We look forward to getting that. The new cushions were installed.

Mr. Moore stated I have a question on that.

Mr. Young stated yes sir.

Mr. Moore stated I noticed today that the seat for the shoulder press station and lat pull down station has at least 20 to 30 tiny cracks in it. I'm not sure why they didn't tape that. Once one of those starts to split, it is just going to take it off. So, if someone could take a look at it.

Mr. Young stated of course.

Mr. Moore stated see if they can reupholster it, but yet do it in a more timely manner.

Mr. Young stated I got on them about that. I kept telling them that we need to get to these quickly. If you are not ready to clean them, then don't take them. I agree with you. I will take a look at it right after the meeting. All of the windows were cleaned last month. It looks like it could use another cleaning this week. We will get on that. Obviously, Leland Management is the new HOA management company. The spots on the bottom of the pool, we already talked about. Weeds were removed from the bushes along the pool fence and in front of the building this month. The parking lot was swept to remove mulch and debris from the playground. The playground area was raked to keep the rubber mulch contained. This month, we have a Halloween event coming up on October 30th. We are going to have a big screen TV with "*Halloweentown*" showing. I am hoping to have a good turnout. We had another event that we had to postpone because there were some concerns. If you recall, the church wanted to come in and fund an event on October 31st, but there were concerns from some Board Members and we ended up cancelling it. However, it may be opened up for future discussion. They are willing to do an event for Christmas. They have a lot of funding from what they tell me and are willing to pay for everything. They hold events at other Amenity Centers and have a great turnout. They do a great job and clean up after themselves. I didn't know if the Board wanted to talk about that and maybe just consider it for future use, but that is a possibility for the future.

Ms. Darden stated I would like to back up just a little bit because it wasn't the Board Members that were against it. We put it out to the attorney and there was a suggestion that because it was faith based, it might be a problem on future events. I don't have a dog in the fight, but I did understand what the attorney was saying in terms of if we hold one faith-based event, then it opens us up to holding other faith-based events, whether we like it or not.

Mr. Young stated fair enough.

Ms. Darden stated so that's where the concern came from.

Mr. Young stated of course. I just wanted to bring it up.

Mr. Greenwood stated it was one of those that we wanted to do more events and the fact that the organization said they want to take care of the financial burden on the District, was great, but then when legal counsel provided their recommendation, before we take any more action, there must be consensus from the Board on how they want to move forward. Tricia, as District Manager, weighed in and said, "*It's a Board decision, but it's not recommended.*" So, you do what you see fit. If the Board says, "*At future events, maybe this can take place,*" I do think we have run out of time for the October 31st event. If the Board wanted to move forward, obviously, we just have to be consistent.

Ms. Hussein stated I have a question just for clarification because I'm not sure that I understand. Are faith-based organizations not allowed?

Ms. Adams responded the question is really, "*Does the Board want to have amenity staff facilitate activities that are sponsored by religious organizations?*" So, a religious organization would presumably enter into an agreement to assume some of the liability, assume some of the costs and assume staff support for the event. The District in turn would provide the space to be used and promote the event internally as a CDD event. So, it's completely a Board policy discussion. Do you want for the facility amenity staff to facilitate events that are sponsored by a religious organization? I think the Chairman did a good job of summarizing the concern that you can't allow one faith tradition to be sponsoring events and not allow different faith traditions. So, if there is a Christian based organization, other religions would also need to be allowed that same opportunity to sponsor events.

Ms. Hussein stated I have another question.

Ms. Darden stated not only religion, just other groups.

Ms. Hussein asked if someone wants to hold some kind of event and they pay to use this space, do they need to define themselves as a religious organization?

Ms. Darden responded no.

Ms. Adams stated when the Clubhouse is being rented, its primarily for the purpose of birthday parties, baby showers, family events and family get togethers, but if there was

somebody who wanted to rent this space and have their Bible Study Group here, that is not something benefitting the community. That's a private rental. So, that's a different program.

Ms. Hussein stated so, our policy is an individual can use it for their personal use, but an entity cannot.

Ms. Adams stated right. Different than the CDD activities. Exactly.

Ms. Darden stated this was a church that wanted to come in and sponsor everything. There was no money out of our pocket for food, entertainment, bounce houses. They were going to foot the bill for everything.

Mr. Fox asked do we normally open it up to any other organization?

Ms. Adams responded that's a good question. If the Board wants to, it could implement some type of Sponsorship Policy or maybe a sponsorship application that is presented to the Board in advance for approval, with the caveat being that you approve religious organizations, it will need to be consistent with that decision-making process.

Mr. Young stated they approached us because they went to a bunch of our other communities and had successful campaigns over there and did some pretty cool stuff. So, I probably jumped the gun on that when I told them that it was okay without getting the Board's approval first and I'll take responsibility for that. Like I said, I just wanted to bring it up and if you want to make something happen in the future, great. I'll make it happen. If not, it's not a big deal.

Ms. Darden stated to me, the question would be, are we going to allow a faith based or any organization? Mike's concern was, once you open it up to say, in this case, the faith-based organization, then you would have to open it up to everybody or anybody that wants to sponsor. So, we can't put limitations on sponsorship, basically.

Ms. Adams stated well, I think the Board still has discretion to determine what is a good fit for the community, but in general, you need to be cognizant that if you approve one religious organization to sponsor, you need to use that same decision-making process for other religious organizations.

Ms. Darden stated right.

Mr. Fox stated my biggest concern is sponsorship, the same sponsorship in conjunction with the governmental agency. I think that brings up a whole host of problems. It's different if they say, "*Hey, we would like to rent your facility.*"

Ms. Hussein stated that's what I wanted to differentiate. They were not necessarily renting the space.

Ms. Adams stated no, they were not renting it. They are looking to do outreach for their organization.

Mr. Young stated just to clarify. They asked me, "*Can we promote our church?*" That's when I was going to come to you guys and say, "*They didn't care either way.*" They have extra funds that they wanted to use or else they lose them next year. That was their main concern and they wanted to get with you guys. I don't think that the promotion was their biggest concern.

Mr. Fox stated I respectfully disagree on that because they have extra funds. They can donate it to the Salvation Army, but to say, "*We would like to come into your community and promote this under our church because this is what we do for communities,*" is outreach.

Mr. Moore stated the bottom line is it sounds like we need to stay away from that.

Ms. Adams stated okay that's fine. That's absolutely fine. There is a budget here and staff can operate activities within the budget as designated.

Mr. Moore stated one other question. Where is the big screen going to set up?

Mr. Young responded somewhere around the pool area. I was thinking right across the way on the other side. No swimming is obviously going to be allowed during that time. Everyone can bring their own blankets to sit on.

Mr. Greenstein stated I think the main thing is when the vendor comes out, typically what they do is they put it where it is best fit. If they say, "*Over here we can access power,*" that's where it is going to go. The bathrooms are going to be accessed as needed. So, if they tell us that their equipment is going to work better over here where they get the most viewers, that is where they are going to put it.

Mr. Moore stated alright. I only ask because several people asked me and I'm trying to get an answer.

Mr. Young stated my first idea was over there, but I think that Jason is pretty much on point with wherever their screen is going to work the best.

Ms. Adams asked are there any other questions regarding amenity management?

Ms. Hussein responded I was impressed with the advertising. This is the first one. It was well done.

Ms. Bachelder stated thank you.

Mr. Young stated I appreciate that.

Mr. Greenwood stated Brian came in and said, *"This is one thing that I want to change."* It's only going to get better. He's doing a great job with that.

Ms. Hussein stated thank you, everyone.

2 Presentation of Aquatic Services Report

Ms. Adams stated Clayton is here this morning. Do you want to present the Pond Report?

Mr. Smith responded yes. Due to the dry conditions and lack of rain, we have had a couple of algae blooms and some duckweed on one of the ponds, which is a challenge to manage. They are working on it. Other than the single pond, for the most part, the ponds are in pretty good condition. We did get word yesterday that DBi, the parent company of our aquatic vendor, Aquagenix, has entirely closed down and gone under. So, this has basically left Aquagenix without a parent company and no one running the place. All of their techs are out of work now. I'm trying to get to the bottom of whether they are going to be continuing their contracts. I also heard that one of the business managers of Aquagenix is saying that another company is going to acquire them and they will just continue to work as Aquagenix under the umbrella of another company. If not, we are obviously going to have to get bids for aquatic services. I'm still trying to figure out exactly where that is going. I have a message out to the Aquagenix Business Development Manager. He will probably get back with me today. We also heard from other vendors that DBi has gone out of business. Some other quick updates on things that are field related, trash pickup around the edges is underway. The trees are scheduled to be trimmed on November 1st, which is next week. We are still working on additional sod and making sure that the scope is being met.

Ms. Adams asked are there any questions on any of the field items?

Mr. Fox asked did we order two trash cans for Valleydale awhile back?

Mr. Smith responded there are two new dog stations.

Mr. Fox asked not trash cans?

Mr. Smith responded no. I don't believe so. I can look back.

Mr. Fox stated I don't think there are any trash cans between the front and the Clubhouse or maybe it was Harbordale.

Ms. Darden stated I think there was discussion.

Mr. Fox stated I think its Newdale and Lakedale that don't have one. I don't know. I'm just trying out if there is an issue.

Ms. Adams asked would the Board like for field staff to analyze what's out there and bring back suggested locations, if there are any areas where there are not trash cans currently available?

Ms. Darden responded yes.

Mr. Moore responded yes, if we hadn't already approved it. I thought we purchased a couple of more trash cans out there. I may be wrong.

Ms. Hussein stated I thought it was approved.

Mr. Smith stated I will double check. If they were ordered, we will get them installed.

Ms. Adams stated he can bring back suggested locations and a proposal.

Mr. Fox stated I would rather just approve it at his discretion

Ms. Adams stated up to three or two.

Mr. Moore asked where were the other ones?

Ms. Darden responded I don't know.

Mr. Fox stated we purchased four trash cans.

Ms. Darden asked how much?

Mr. Fox responded each trash can was about just under \$500. They were the heavy-duty plastic trash cans.

Ms. Adams stated they are expensive, but they are durable. The cast aluminum powder coated is long lasting.

Mr. Fox stated the same ones as right out here.

Ms. Darden stated we need two at a maximum.

Mr. Fox stated two is fine.

Mr. Fox MOVED to purchase two additional trash cans and Ms. Darden seconded the motion.

Ms. Adams asked is there any further discussion regarding the purchase of two trash cans?

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor the purchase two additional trash cans was approved.

Ms. Adams asked are there any other questions for field management?

Ms. Darden asked what about the fish that we were supposed to get for the pond?

Mr. Smith responded they are in there.

Ms. Hussein stated he told us about them last time.

Ms. Darden stated okay.

C. District Manager

Ms. Adams stated I was given a note that I've been holding off on sending Stantec Consulting the information regarding the Stormwater Needs Analysis until this meeting. I also have teed up for them a Request for Proposal (RFP) for expansion of the Fitness Center. So, I anticipate that we will be getting both of those proposals back from Stantec at a future meeting.

1. Approval of Check Register

Ms. Adams stated in your agenda packet, we have the Check Register. The items being presented excluding CALM total is \$42,168.39. The detailed Check Register and invoices were included in the agenda packet.

Mr. Brown MOVED to approve the September 1, 2021 to September 30, 2021 Operation and Maintenance Check Register in the amount of \$42,168.39 excluding CALM expenditures and Mr. Fox seconded the motion.

Ms. Adams asked is there any further discussion? Hearing none,

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor the September 1, 2021 to September 30, 2021 Operation and Maintenance Check Register in the amount of \$42,168.39 excluding CALM expenditures were approved.

Ms. Adams stated for CALM there are expenditures totaling \$14,158.57 for the month of September, 2021. We need a motion to approve.

On MOTION by Ms. Darden seconded by Mr. Fox with Ms. Darden, Ms. Hussein, Mr. Brown and Mr. Fox in favor and Mr. Moore abstaining the September CALM expenditures in the amount of \$14,158.57 was approved. (Motion Passed 4-1)

Mr. Brown asked do we need a separate motion on capital items, since that is not included?

Ms. Adams responded we have \$1,401 in capital items on your Check Run Summary.

Ms. Darden MOVED to approve the September capital items in the amount of \$1,401 and Mr. Brown seconded the motion.

Ms. Adams asked is there any further discussion? Hearing none,

On VOICE VOTE with all in favor the September capital items in the amount of \$1,401 were approved.

2. Balance Sheet and Income Statement

Ms. Adams stated next are your unaudited financials through September 30, 2021. This has the cash balances for your General Fund as well as your Capital Reserve Fund. It also includes your spending for the fiscal year. There may still be a few invoices that are trickling in, but this gives you a good picture of how we are ending the fiscal year. Your prorated budget through September 30, 2021 is \$404,000 and your actuals are \$368,000. This Board has done a very good job of controlling expenses. No action is required.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Other Business

Ms. Adams asked is there any other business? Hearing none.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisors' Request

Ms. Adams asked are there any Supervisor requests?

Mr. Fox responded yes. The fence out here was damaged in an accident. Do we know if that fence belongs to the CDD or HOA?

Ms. Darden responded the HOA.

Mr. Greenwood responded it belongs to the HOA.

Mr. Fox stated I thought it was too, but it was brought up by my Property Manager that it does not according to county records. Of course, we know that county records are wrong where their lines are, but it's a considerable distance on the county record, several feet.

Mr. Greenwood stated I'm going to get in touch with the insurance adjuster.

Mr. Fox asked it doesn't matter who owns it?

Mr. Greenwood responded right. I'm referring to the insurance company of the actual driver. They are going to be responsible for the landscaping. It hasn't closed yet, so that is where I would bring back into the equation, the fence.

Mr. Fox stated that's fine. I just want to make sure it was being taken care of. That's all I have.

Ms. Adams stated sounds good. Are there any other Supervisor requests? Hearing none, we need a motion to adjourn.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

On MOTION by Ms. Darden seconded by Mr. Fox with all in favor the meeting was adjourned.

Lricia L. Adams
Secretary/Assistant Secretary

Gregory Darden
Chairman/Vice Chairman